

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE .	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION N		
10/617,109	07/10/2003	Murali Krishna Akkapeddi	H0003997(4300)	2615	
7590 08/05/2005			EXAMINER		
Richard S. Rol	berts	BRUENJES, CHRISTOPHER P			
Roberts & Merc	anti, L.L.P.				
P.O. Box 484	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Princeton, NJ	08542-0484	1772			

DATE MAILED: 08/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)			
		10/617,109		AKKAPEDDI ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
, — u · ·	·	Christopher P B		1772			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status				,			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on					
	•						
3)	Since this application is in condition fo	r allowance except for fo	rmal matters, pros	secution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Quayle,	1935 C.D. 11, 453	3 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the app	blication.		·			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•	·				
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> are subject to restriction	and/or election requirem	ent.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) be held	in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attach	Wa\		•				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT r No(s)/Mail Date		Other:	tent Application (PTO-152)			
<del></del>							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Offi PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-23, drawn to a polyamide composition, classified in class 525, subclass 432.
  - II. Claims 24-38, drawn to a multilayer film, classified in class 428, subclass 35.7.
  - III. Claims 39-42, drawn to a process for making a multilayer article, classified in class 264, subclass 500.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship.

Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a molding composition for forming a single-layered article and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing

on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the composition as claimed can be used in a different process such as a molding process for developing a single-layered article.

Inventions II and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and

materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another materially different process such as forming a article from the polyamide blend followed by either coating or laminating a pre-formed layer of thermoplastic layer to the pre-formed article made from the polyamide blend.

- 2. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 3. A telephone call was made to Richard Roberts on July 12, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher P Bruenjes whose telephone number is 571-272-1489. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher P Bruenjes Examiner

Art Unit 1772

CPB

СРВ

August 3, 2005

HAROLD PYUN

UPERVISORY PATENT EXAM